CS 229 - MACHINE LEARNING Xiangliang Zhang Computer Science (CS)/Statistics (STAT) Program Computer, Electrical and Mathematical Sciences & Engineering (CEMSE) Division King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST)

HOMEWORK I

Henrique Aparecido Laureano

Spring Semester 2018

Contents

Data																																										3
Regression basis function																			4																							
Task																																										5
(1)																																			•							5
	a)																										•										•			•		6
	b)																										•										•					8
	c)																																									9
	d)																																									11
(2)																																										12
	a)																																									13
	b)																																									14
	c)																																									16
	d)																																									18
(3)																																										19
(-)	a)		-				_			_						_	_	_			_	_		_	_		_						_	_	_			_				20
	b)							į													•												•		ļ					ļ		$\frac{-0}{22}$
	c)	•	•	•	·	·	•	•	•	•	• •		•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•••	•	•	•	•	•••	•	•	•	•	•••	•	•	•	•	•	23
	d)	•••	•	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	• •	•••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•••	•	•	•	•	•	•••	•	•	•	•	•••	•	•	•	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	$\frac{20}{25}$
	u)	•	•	·	·	·	·	•	•	•	• •	•••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	• •	•	•	·	•	•	• •	•	•	·	•	•••	·	•	•	•	• •	•	•	•	·	·	20

Data

Generate a set of data samples (x,t) by yourself, e.g., t = f(x) + noise. x is a variable with real value in one dimension, and t is the target variable with real value in one dimension too. Function f(x) can be any non-linear function, e.g., $\sin x$, $\cos x$, or more complex ones, and random noises are added.

Randomly choose 80% of the data for learning the regression function. Use the remaining 20% for evaluating the learned function.

Solution:

 $f(x) = 0.2 + 0.15x + 3.25x^2 - 2.8x^3$, (coefficient values chosen randomly)

x: two thousand different values in the interval [0, 1]

noise : two thousand random samples of a Normal $(0, 0.025^2)$

2 thousand different numbers in the interval [0, 1] $x \le seq(0, 1, length.out = 2e3)$ fx <- .2 + .15 * x + 3.25 * x**2 - 2.8 * x**3 # non-linear behavior # adding the random noise and building the target t $t \le fx + rnorm(2e3, sd = .025)$ # sampling 1600 numbers between 0 and 2 thousand, without reposition random.choose <- sample(2e3, 16e2)</pre> # defining the train and test datasets t.train <- t[random.choose]; x.train <- x[random.choose]</pre> t.test <- t[-random.choose]; x.test <- x[-random.choose]</pre> # plotting data par(mfrow = c(1, 2))# graphical definitions plot(t.train ~ x.train, main = "Train data: 1600 samples") plot(t.test ~ x.test, main = "Test data: 400 samples")



Regression basis function

Choose any kind of basis function, e.g., polynomial function. Try an appropriate number of basis functions.

Solution:

Polynomial function with three and four basis: Polynomial of degree 3 (cubic) and 4.

```
----- #
p <- 6
                                             # defining the polynomial degree
xsp <- function(p, xs) { # building the matrix with the desired polynomial degree</pre>
 xsp <- matrix(NA, nrow = length(xs), ncol = p + 1)  # creating an empty matrix</pre>
  xsp[ , 1:2] <- cbind(1, xs)</pre>
                                                 # first two standard columns
  for (i in 2:p) xsp[ , i + 1] <- xs**i</pre>
                                                     # making the polynomium
  return(xsp)
}
xs3.train <- xsp(p = 3, xs = x.train)
                                              # matrix of dimensions 1600 x 4
xs4.train < xsp(p = 4, xs = x.train)
                                              # matrix of dimensions 1600 x 5
xs5.train < xsp(p = 5, xs = x.train)
                                              # matrix of dimensions 1600 x 6
xs6.train < xsp(p = 6, xs = x.train)
                                              # matrix of dimensions 1600 x 7
xs3.test <- xsp(p = 3, xs = x.test)
                                              # matrix of dimensions 400 x 4
xs4.test <- xsp(p = 4, xs = x.test)
                                              # matrix of dimensions 400 x 5
xs5.test <- xsp(p = 5, xs = x.test)
                                              # matrix of dimensions 400 x 6
xs6.test <- xsp(p = 6, xs = x.test)
                                              # matrix of dimensions 400 x 7
```

Implement the batch gradient descent algorithm.

Solution:

Algorithm:

$$w_i^{j+1} := w_i^j - \eta \frac{\partial}{\partial w_i} \left[\frac{1}{2N} \sum_{n=1}^N (y(x_n, w) - t_n)^2 \right]$$
$$:= w_i^j - \eta \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (y(x_n, w) - t_n) x_n,$$

with

- w being the vector of coefficients;
- η being a real constant in the interval (0, 1];
- N being the sample size;
- $y(x_n, w)$ being the linear predictor, in this case $y(x_n, w) = \sum_{i=0}^{p} w_i x^i$;
- t_n being the response target variable;
- x being the covariables.

Cost function/stop criterium:

$$\frac{1}{2N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (y(x_n, w) - t_n)^2.$$

```
# i keep only two vectors of coefficients in the memory. the coefficients of the
                                   actual step and of the immediately past step
 #
 w = matrix(numeric(p))  # coefficients for the past step. dimension p x 1
 w.new = matrix(numeric(p)) # coefficients for the actual step. dimension p x 1
                                        # counter for the number of iterations
 i = 1
 cost = 1 # cost function (convergence criterium). random value to initialize
 cf <- numeric(1) # creating vector to keep the cost function at each iteration
 eta = .5
                                                                  # constant
    # establishing convergence criterium. desired difference between steps: 0.001
 while (cost > .001) {
   error = x %*% w - t
                                                # breaking algorithm in parts
   delta = t(x)  %*% error / n
                                                # breaking algorithm in parts
   w.new = w - eta * delta
                                                  # computing new coefficents
   cost = sum((x %*% w.new - t)**2) / (2 * n)
                                                    # computing cost function
                                         # keeping the iteration cost function
   cf[i] = cost
   w = w.new
                         # at each step the new coefficient became the old one
   # print(c(i, w))  # showing number of iterations and actual coefficents
   i = i + 1
                                           # updating the number of iterations
 }
     # returning final coefficients, number of iterations and cost function at each
     #
                                                                   iteration
 return(list(w = t(w), i = i, cf = cf))
}
```

a)

Show the decreasing of error function with the increasing of iteration numbers.

Polynomial with four basis functions



Polynomial with five basis functions









Give the results of obtained coefficient, w.

Solution: round(bgd.3train\$w, 6) # polynomial with three basis functions: w_{0}, ..., w_{3} [,1] [,2] [,3] [,4] [1,] 0.099789 1.351393 0.27639 -0.836567 round(bgd.4train\$w, 6) # polynomial with four basis functions: w_{0}, ..., w_{4} [.1] [.2] [.3] [,4] [,5] [1,] 0.16735 1.005517 0.375372 -0.149181 -0.495614 round(bgd.5train\$w, 6) # polynomial with five basis functions: w_{0}, ..., w_{5} [,2] [,3] [,4] [,5] [.1] [,6] [1,] 0.195974 0.86093 0.401727 0.025085 -0.22218 -0.378251 round(bgd.6train\$w, 6) # polynomial with six basis functions: w_{0}, ..., w_{6} [.1] [.2] [.3] [.4] [.5] [.6] [.7] [1,] 0.212712 0.779609 0.404252 0.09837 -0.101921 -0.228531 -0.307827 par(mfrow = c(2, 2))# dividing the graphical window in four # plotting train data plot(t.train ~ x.train, main = "Polynomial with three basis functions") t.bgd.3train <- with(bgd.3train, w) %*% t(xs3.train) # computing the fitted curve # inserting the fitted curve in the plot lines(sort(x.train), t.bgd.3train[order(x.train)], col = "#0080ff", lwd = 5) # plotting train data plot(t.train ~ x.train, main = "Polynomial with four basis functions") t.bgd.4train <- with(bgd.4train, w) %*% t(xs4.train) # computing the fitted curve</pre> # inserting the fitted curve in the plot lines(sort(x.train), t.bgd.4train[order(x.train)], col = "#0080ff", lwd = 5) # plotting train data plot(t.train ~ x.train, main = "Polynomial with five basis functions") t.bgd.5train <- with(bgd.5train, w) %*% t(xs5.train) # computing the fitted curve # inserting the fitted curve in the plot lines(sort(x.train), t.bgd.5train[order(x.train)], col = "#0080ff", lwd = 5) # plotting train data plot(t.train ~ x.train, main = "Polynomial with six basis functions") t.bgd.6train <- with(bgd.6train, w) %*% t(xs6.train) # computing the fitted curve # inserting the fitted curve in the plot lines(sort(x.train), t.bgd.6train[order(x.train)], col = "#0080ff", lwd = 5) # </r code> ======== ===== #

Polynomial with four basis functions



Show the predicted f(x) when applying the learned regression function on testing data x, and compare it with the corresponding actual target t on the same figure.

par(mfrow = c(2, 2))# dividing the graphical window in four # plotting test data plot(t.test ~ x.test, main = "Polynomial with three basis functions") # computing the curve using the coefficients estimated with the train dataset t.bgd.3test <- with(bgd.3train, w) %*% t(xs3.test)</pre> # inserting the curve in the plot lines(sort(x.test), t.bgd.3test[order(x.test)], col = "#0080ff", lwd = 5) # plotting test data plot(t.test ~ x.test, main = "Polynomial with four basis functions") # computing the curve using the coefficients estimated with the train dataset t.bgd.4test <- with(bgd.4train, w) %*% t(xs4.test)</pre> # inserting the curve in the plot lines(sort(x.test), t.bgd.4test[order(x.test)], col = "#0080ff", lwd = 5) # plotting test data plot(t.test ~ x.test, main = "Polynomial with five basis functions") # computing the curve using the coefficients estimated with the train dataset t.bgd.5test <- with(bgd.5train, w) %*% t(xs5.test)</pre> # inserting the fitted curve in the plot lines(sort(x.test), t.bgd.5test[order(x.test)], col = "#0080ff", lwd = 5) # plotting test data plot(t.test ~ x.test, main = "Polynomial with six basis functions") # computing the curve using the coefficients estimated with the train dataset t.bgd.6test <- with(bgd.6train, w) %*% t(xs6.test)</pre> # inserting the curve in the plot lines(sort(x.test), t.bgd.6test[order(x.test)], col = "#0080ff", lwd = 5)

Polynomial with four basis functions



What is the Root-Mean-Square Error on test set?

Root-Mean-Square (RMS) Error :
$$E_{\text{RMS}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (y(x_n, w^*) - t_n)^2}$$

```
rmse <- function(x, w, t) {</pre>
                                                  # root-mean-square error
 n = length(t)
                                                            # sample size
 rmse = sum((x \% t(w) - t) * 2) / (2 * n)
                                                      # computing the rmse
                                                      # returning the rmse
 return(rmse)
}
           # rmse in the test data using a polynomial with three basis functions
                                 (coefficients estimated with the train data)
(rmse.3test <- rmse(x = xs3.test, w = with(bgd.3train, w), t = t.test))</pre>
[1] 0.0009584382
            # rmse in the test data using a polynomial with four basis functions
            #
                                (coefficients estimated with the train data)
(rmse.4test <- rmse(x = xs4.test, w = with(bgd.4train, w), t = t.test))</pre>
[1] 0.0009343287
            # rmse in the test data using a polynomial with five basis functions
            #
                     (coefficients estimated with the train data)
(rmse.5test <- rmse(x = xs5.test, w = with(bgd.5train, w), t = t.test))</pre>
[1] 0.0009415637
             # rmse in the test data using a polynomial with six basis functions
             #
                                 (coefficients estimated with the train data)
(rmse.6test <- rmse(x = xs6.test, w = with(bgd.6train, w), t = t.test))</pre>
[1] 0.0009527641
```

(2)

Implement the stochastic gradient descent algorithm.

Solution:

Algorithm:

for
$$n = 1 : N$$

$$w_i^n := w_i^{n-1} - \eta \frac{\partial}{\partial w_i} \left[\frac{1}{2} (y(x_n, w) - t_n)^2 \right]$$

$$:= w_i^{n-1} - \eta (y(x_n, w) - t_n) x_n.$$

```
sgd <- function(t, x) {</pre>
                                            # stochastic gradient descent
 t = matrix(t)
                  # converting the target value to matrix of dimension n x 1
 n = length(t)
                                                         # sample size
 p = ncol(x)
                                                # number of coefficients
 w = matrix(numeric(p))
                                # storing the coefficients. dimension p x 1
 cf <- numeric(1) # creating vector to keep the cost function at each iteration
 eta = .5
                                                            # constant
                                       # for each observation in the data
 for (i in 1:n) {
   xn = matrix(x[i, ], ncol = p)  # selecting a line in the n x p matrix x
   error = xn \ \% \ \% \ - t[i]
                                            # breaking algorithm in parts
   delta = t(xn) %*% error
                                            # breaking algorithm in parts
   w = w - eta * delta
                                                 # computing coefficents
                         # computing and keeping the iteration cost function
   cf[i] = error**2
 }
              # returning final coeffients and cost function at each iteration
 return(list(w = t(w), cf = cf))
}
```

```
a)
```

Show the decreasing of error function with the increasing number of used data points.

```
par(mfrow = c(2, 2))
                                         # dividing the graphical window in four
                                # running the sgd with a three degree polynomial
sgd.3train <- sgd(t = t.train, x = xs3.train)</pre>
plot(sgd.3train$cf, type = "l", lwd = 2, col = "#0080ff" # plotting error function
     , xlab = "Data point", ylab = "Error"
     , main = "Polynomial with three basis functions")
                                 # running the sgd with a four degree polynomial
sgd.4train <- sgd(t = t.train, x = xs4.train)</pre>
plot(sgd.4train$cf, type = "1", lwd = 2, col = "#0080ff" # plotting error function
     , xlab = "Data point", ylab = "Error"
     , main = "Polynomial with four basis functions")
                                 # running the sgd with a five degree polynomial
sgd.5train <- sgd(t = t.train, x = xs5.train)</pre>
plot(sgd.5train$cf, type = "1", lwd = 2, col = "#0080ff" # plotting error function
     , xlab = "Data point", ylab = "Error"
     , main = "Polynomial with five basis functions")
                                  # running the sgd with a six degree polynomial
sgd.6train <- sgd(t = t.train, x = xs6.train)</pre>
plot(sgd.6train$cf, type = "1", lwd = 2, col = "#0080ff" # plotting error function
```

```
, xlab = "Data point", ylab = "Error"
  , main = "Polynomial with six basis functions")
```



Polynomial with four basis functions





Polynomial with six basis functions

b)

Give the results of obtained coefficient, w.

round(sgd.3train\$w, 6) # polynomial with three basis functions: w_{0}, ..., w_{3} [,2] [,3] [,4] [,1] [1,] 0.091969 1.299038 0.34072 -0.851316 round(sgd.4train\$w, 6) # polynomial with four basis functions: w_{0}, ..., w_{4} [,2] [,3] [,4] [,5] [,1] [1,] 0.122751 1.049928 0.569441 -0.157787 -0.733624 round(sgd.5train\$w, 6) # polynomial with five basis functions: w_{0}, ..., w_{5} [,1] [,2] [,3] [,4] [,5] [,6] [1,] 0.14513 0.941967 0.565228 0.051273 -0.324984 -0.56695 round(sgd.6train\$w, 6) # polynomial with six basis functions: w_{0}, ..., w_{6} [,2] [,5] [,1] [,3] [,4] [,6] [,7] [1.] 0.158402 0.906452 0.519173 0.095223 -0.177157 -0.330536 -0.410002 par(mfrow = c(2, 2))# dividing the graphical window in four # plotting train data plot(t.train ~ x.train, main = "Polynomial with three basis functions") t.sgd.3train <- with(sgd.3train, w) %*% t(xs3.train) # computing the fitted curve # inserting the fitted curve in the plot lines(sort(x.train), t.sgd.3train[order(x.train)], col = "#0080ff", lwd = 5) # plotting train data plot(t.train ~ x.train, main = "Polynomial with four basis functions") t.sgd.4train <- with(sgd.4train, w) %*% t(xs4.train) # computing the fitted curve # inserting the fitted curve in the plot lines(sort(x.train), t.sgd.4train[order(x.train)], col = "#0080ff", lwd = 5) # plotting train data plot(t.train ~ x.train, main = "Polynomial with five basis functions") t.sgd.5train <- with(sgd.5train, w) %*% t(xs5.train) # computing the fitted curve # inserting the fitted curve in the plot lines(sort(x.train), t.sgd.5train[order(x.train)], col = "#0080ff", lwd = 5) # plotting train data plot(t.train ~ x.train, main = "Polynomial with six basis functions") t.sgd.6train <- with(sgd.6train, w) %*% t(xs6.train) # computing the fitted curve</pre> # inserting the fitted curve in the plot lines(sort(x.train), t.sgd.6train[order(x.train)], col = "#0080ff", lwd = 5) # </r code> ======

Polynomial with four basis functions



Show the predicted f(x) when applying the learned regression function on testing data x, and compare it with the corresponding actual target t on the same figure.

par(mfrow = c(2, 2))# dividing the graphical window in four # plotting test data plot(t.test ~ x.test, main = "Polynomial with three basis functions") # computing the curve using the coefficients estimated with the train dataset t.sgd.3test <- with(sgd.3train, w) %*% t(xs3.test)</pre> # inserting the curve in the plot lines(sort(x.test), t.sgd.3test[order(x.test)], col = "#0080ff", lwd = 5) # plotting test data plot(t.test ~ x.test, main = "Polynomial with four basis functions") # computing the curve using the coefficients estimated with the train dataset t.sgd.4test <- with(sgd.4train, w) %*% t(xs4.test)</pre> # inserting the curve in the plot lines(sort(x.test), t.sgd.4test[order(x.test)], col = "#0080ff", lwd = 5) # plotting test data plot(t.test ~ x.test, main = "Polynomial with five basis functions") # computing the curve using the coefficients estimated with the train dataset t.sgd.5test <- with(sgd.5train, w) %*% t(xs5.test)</pre> # inserting the fitted curve in the plot lines(sort(x.test), t.sgd.5test[order(x.test)], col = "#0080ff", lwd = 5) # plotting test data plot(t.test ~ x.test, main = "Polynomial with six basis functions") # computing the curve using the coefficients estimated with the train dataset t.sgd.6test <- with(sgd.6train, w) %*% t(xs6.test)</pre> # inserting the curve in the plot lines(sort(x.test), t.sgd.6test[order(x.test)], col = "#0080ff", lwd = 5)

Polynomial with four basis functions



d)

What is the Root-Mean-Square Error on test set?

Solution:

```
(rmse.3test <- rmse(x = xs3.test, w = with(sgd.3train, w), t = t.test))</pre>
[1] 0.001072366
            # rmse in the test data using a polynomial with four basis functions
            #
                     (coefficients estimated with the train data)
(rmse.4test <- rmse(x = xs4.test, w = with(sgd.4train, w), t = t.test))</pre>
[1] 0.0005841753
            # rmse in the test data using a polynomial with five basis functions
                                   (coefficients estimated with the train data)
            #
(rmse.5test <- rmse(x = xs5.test, w = with(sgd.5train, w), t = t.test))</pre>
[1] 0.000424704
             # rmse in the test data using a polynomial with six basis functions
                                   (coefficients estimated with the train data)
              #
(rmse.6test <- rmse(x = xs6.test, w = with(sgd.6train, w), t = t.test))</pre>
[1] 0.0004092639
```

(3)

Implement the maximum likelihood algorithm.

Solution:

The least squares estimator by maximum likelihood is given by

 $w = (\Phi^{\top} \Phi)^{-1} \Phi^{\top} t$, (all vectors/matrices).

Give the results of obtained coefficient, w.

Solution: # polynomial with three basis functions: w_{0}, ..., w_{3} (ls.3train < ls(x = xs3.train, t = t.train))\$w [,2] [,3] [,4][,1][1,] 0.2035217 0.1299265 3.276339 -2.809832 # polynomial with four basis functions: w_{0}, ..., w_{4} (ls.4train < ls(x = xs4.train, t = t.train))\$w [,1] [,2] [,3] [,4] [,5] [1,] 0.2057053 0.08615743 3.473318 -3.115967 0.1528609 # polynomial with five basis functions: w_{0}, ..., w_{5} (ls.5train < ls(x = xs5.train, t = t.train))\$w [,1][,2] [,3] [,4] [,5] [,6] [1,] 0.2067511 0.05471622 3.693799 -3.704164 0.8144303 -0.264468 # polynomial with six basis functions: w_{0}, ..., w_{6} (ls.6train < - ls(x = xs6.train, t = t.train))\$w [,3] [,4] [,5] [,6] [,7] [,1] [,2] [1,] 0.207054 0.04202729 3.820568 -4.210595 1.762703 -1.097852 0.2774456 par(mfrow = c(2, 2))# dividing the graphical window in four # plotting train data plot(t.train ~ x.train, main = "Polynomial with three basis functions") t.ls.3train <- with(ls.3train, w) %*% t(xs3.train) # computing the fitted curve # inserting the fitted curve in the plot lines(sort(x.train), t.ls.3train[order(x.train)], col = "#0080ff", lwd = 5) # plotting train data plot(t.train ~ x.train, main = "Polynomial with four basis functions") t.ls.4train <- with(ls.4train, w) %*% t(xs4.train) # computing the fitted curve # inserting the fitted curve in the plot lines(sort(x.train), t.ls.4train[order(x.train)], col = "#0080ff", lwd = 5)

plotting train data



Polynomial with four basis functions





Polynomial with six basis functions



b)

Compare the value of w obtained at (1) and (2) by gradient descent algorithm, and (3) by maximum likelihood.

Solution:

Polynomial with three basis functions:

w00.099788550.091969270.2035217w11.351393351.299038030.1299265w20.276389620.340719533.2763390w3-0.83656720-0.85131641-2.8098323

Batch and stochastic gradient descent present similar coefficients. Maximum likelihood present bigger estimatives, principally for w_2 and w_3 , corresponding respectively to the terms of second and third degree.

Polynomial with four basis functions:

Same behavior. Similar estimatives for batch and stochastic gradient descent, and different values for maximum likelihood.

Polynomial with five basis functions:

w4 -0.4956139 -0.7336245 0.15286094

bgd ls sgd 0.19597420 0.14513017 0.20675113 wO 0.86093012 0.94196741 0.05471622 w1 w2 0.40172691 0.56522773 3.69379917 ωЗ 0.02508541 0.05127282 -3.70416385 w4 -0.22217979 -0.32498357 0.81443029 w5 -0.37825104 -0.56695026 -0.26446802

The same. The more different coefficients are observed with the maximum likelihood approach.

Polynomial with six basis functions:

```
# <r code> ====
                                                             ==================================
matrix(cbind(bgd.6train$w, sgd.6train$w, ls.6train$w), ncol = 3
      , dimnames = list(c("w0", "w1", "w2", "w3", "w4", "w5", "w6")
                      , c("bgd", "sgd", "ls")))
bgd
                    sgd
                                ls
wO
   0.21271228
             0.15840160 0.20705400
   0.77960924
             0.90645217 0.04202729
w1
w2
   0.40425244 0.51917307 3.82056784
w3 0.09837045 0.09522338 -4.21059501
w4 -0.10192089 -0.17715659 1.76270316
w5 -0.22853128 -0.33053631 -1.09785154
w6 -0.30782721 -0.41000158 0.27744560
```

The same. The more different coefficients are observed with the maximum likelihood approach.

In summary:

Looking to the fitted curves in the train dataset presented before, the best fits are seen with the maximum likelihood approach. Very similar results are obtained when comparing the batch with the stochastic gradient descent.

c)

Show the predicted f(x) when applying the learned regression function on testing data x, and compare it with the corresponding actual target t on the same figure.

par(mfrow = c(2, 2))# dividing the graphical window in four # plotting test data plot(t.test ~ x.test, main = "Polynomial with three basis functions") # computing the curve using the coefficients estimated with the train dataset t.ls.3test <- with(ls.3train, w) %*% t(xs3.test)</pre> # inserting the curve in the plot lines(sort(x.test), t.ls.3test[order(x.test)], col = "#0080ff", lwd = 5) # plotting test data plot(t.test ~ x.test, main = "Polynomial with four basis functions") # computing the curve using the coefficients estimated with the train dataset t.ls.4test <- with(ls.4train, w) %*% t(xs4.test)</pre> # inserting the curve in the plot lines(sort(x.test), t.ls.4test[order(x.test)], col = "#0080ff", lwd = 5) # plotting test data plot(t.test ~ x.test, main = "Polynomial with five basis functions") # computing the curve using the coefficients estimated with the train dataset t.ls.5test <- with(ls.5train, w) %*% t(xs5.test)</pre> # inserting the fitted curve in the plot lines(sort(x.test), t.ls.5test[order(x.test)], col = "#0080ff", lwd = 5) # plotting test data plot(t.test ~ x.test, main = "Polynomial with six basis functions") # computing the curve using the coefficients estimated with the train dataset t.ls.6test <- with(ls.6train, w) %*% t(xs6.test)</pre> # inserting the curve in the plot lines(sort(x.test), t.ls.6test[order(x.test)], col = "#0080ff", lwd = 5)

Polynomial with four basis functions



What is the Root-Mean-Square Error on test set? Which method has the best performance? Batch gradient descent, stochastic gradient descent or maximum likelihood?

```
# rmse in the test data using a polynomial with three basis functions
           #
                                (coefficients estimated with the train data)
(rmse.3test <- rmse(x = xs3.test, w = with(ls.3train, w), t = t.test))</pre>
[1] 0.0003058933
            # rmse in the test data using a polynomial with four basis functions
            #
                         (coefficients estimated with the train data)
(rmse.4test <- rmse(x = xs4.test, w = with(ls.4train, w), t = t.test))</pre>
[1] 0.000305442
            # rmse in the test data using a polynomial with five basis functions
            #
                                (coefficients estimated with the train data)
(rmse.5test <- rmse(x = xs5.test, w = with(ls.5train, w), t = t.test))</pre>
[1] 0.0003056077
             # rmse in the test data using a polynomial with six basis functions
                               (coefficients estimated with the train data)
             #
(rmse.6test <- rmse(x = xs6.test, w = with(ls.6train, w), t = t.test))</pre>
[1] 0.0003055881
```

Looking only to the RMSE the method with best performance is the maximum likelihood, with average RMSE of 0.0003. Nevertheless, the RMSE obtained with the gradient descent (batch and stochastic) are also pretty similar (0.0009 and 0.0004, in average).

Looking to the fitted curves (in the train and in the test datasets), the best results are obtained with the maximum likelihood approach.

The data was generated with a cubic polynomial added of a random noise. Four different degrees of polynomials was tested and the bests results was obtained with the polynomial of degree six (the highest of the four), this in all the three approachs.